

Learning objectives:


1. Biodiversity
2. Invasive species
3. Structure of forest insect communities and ecological guilds
4. Population dynamics of forest insect pests
5. How forest insects respond to abiotic drivers
6. How forest insects respond to biotic drivers: plant quality
7. How forest insects respond to biotic drivers: competition
8. How forest insects respond to biotic drivers: natural enemies
- 9. Ecological management of insect pest populations**

1. Monitoring and prediction

- international and national surveys
- permanent and temporary surveys
- site-specific or general programmes
- accuracy and spatial scale
- update of the situation in Italy

Monitoring and prediction

- mapping (Cephalcia outbreak)



Monitoring and prediction

- Sampling (*Cephalcia prepupae* in soil 25x25x25 cm)



- 0-20 m²
- 20-100 m²
- 100-500 m²
- > 500 m²


Eonymph



Pupal eye absent

Extended diapause

Pronymph



Pupal eye present

Annual life cycle

Figure 3.21 Diapausing stages of *Cephalcia arvensis*. Prolonged diapause can occur in the eonymph stage and in pronymph stages P1 and P2 distinguished by the degree of development of the pupal eye. An annual cycle is indicated when the eonymph stage is reduced or absent and the P4 stage is evident a few days after larvae enter the soil (from Battisti 1994; after Eichhorn and Pausch 1986).

Monitoring and prediction

- Sampling (Cephalcia adults
20x20 yellow trap)




Figure 1. Linear regression including a spatial autoregressive error term between the total number of adults caught on the traps and the density of pronymphs in the soil sampled in spring. The dashed line represents the defoliation threshold (20 pronymphs/m², according to Martinek et al., 1987).



Monitoring the pine processionary moth

Traps for *T. pityocampa*



Box trap
(Spain)



Funnel trap
(Portugal)




Wing trap
(Italy)




Plate trap
(France)

Nests year n –
Adults year n




Adults year n –
Nest year n + 1
Prediction



Monitoring and prediction

- Degree-days models to predict phenology and period of attack




$$DD = (T_{\max} + T_{\min})/2 - T_{\text{threshold}}$$

Figure 3.22 Timing insecticide treatments against *Rhyacionia frustrana* on young radiata pine in California, USA. Male moths were caught in pheromone-baited traps deployed part way through the extended flight period of the overwintering generation (P). This first flight has no distinct beginning in the mild climate. The first moths caught in the F1–F3 generations (▼) were used as the 'biofix' point for the start of day degree (DD) accumulation and peak moth counts (▲) as the end point. This corresponded to 575 DD (horizontal bar). Peak egg hatch occurred an additional 111 DD after peak flight and this was the optimum time for insecticide treatment. The timing of treatment following the first flight (P) was based on accumulation of 111 DD after the peak trap catch (↓) (from Malinoski and Paine 1988).

2. The role of silviculture

Species selection



The role of silviculture

Thinning

Pinus nigra

Ips sexdentatus

Tree vigour and
resistance



The role of silviculture

Wind-felling





Figure 4.20 The effect of stand management after windblow on the number of Norway spruce trees killed by *Ips typographus* in southern Sweden. (a) In the area affected by the storm, there was a linear relationship between the number of windblown trees and the number of trees killed by *I. typographus*. (b) In managed stands, fallen trees were removed before the summer but left in place in the unmanaged ones with the result that twice as many trees were killed by beetles in these stands over a 4-year period (from Schroeder and Lindelöw 2002).

Natural and managed forests




Figure 1.1 The effect of fire suppression on species composition of unlogged forests in western Montana, USA. Historic fire sequences derived from fire scars in sample trees show the effect of management on fire frequency. The reduction in fire frequency altered stand structure and species composition, allowing an increase in shade-tolerant Douglas fir (from Anderson et al. 1987).



Forest management and bark beetle outbreaks in N America: pines and mountain pine beetle *Dendroctonus ponderosae*



UGA2253095

Natural and managed forests

Pure stand





Mixed stand



Natural and managed forests: diversity = stability? The results of a meta-analysis of 119 studies on the impact of forest pests in pure and mixed stands (Jactel & Brockerhoff 2007)

Effect size: damage in mixed – damage in pure stands



Effect size in relation to the relative abundance of accompanying tree species in relation to the focal tree species




Figure 3 Eight response patterns of Hedges' d to the relative abundance of associated tree species in mixed stands compared with pure stands. (1) Nichols *et al.* 1999; (2, 4, 5, 6) Fauss & Pierce 1969; (3) Jactel *et al.* 2006; (7) Su *et al.* 1996; (8) Katovich 1992.

Natural and managed forests

Hypothesis → the stand diversity

in single-species forests, plant resources are more easily located by insect herbivores due to

- absence of physical barriers
- absence of chemical barriers
- absence of temporal barriers
- absence of generalist parasitoids and predators

BUT not always, because

- some species of herbivores are favoured by mixed stands (es. polyphagous species and associational susceptibility)

The problem of the scale: from stand to landscape

Natural and managed forests in the Alps



Pinus nigra

*Thaumatococcus
pityocarpa*




Plantations in Trieste Karst: history and pest control

- 1360 hectares left
- 1400 hectares lost or transformed
- Control through manual removal of nests




Pine processionary moth in Trieste Karst: plantation history and pest control



15 years gap between plantation and maximum cost of control (2006 value)

Dynamics of pine processionary moth and evolution of the plantations



Semiochemicals



Chemical compounds that transfer information among insects.

Pheromones: intraspecific

Kairomones: interspecific

(natural enemies)

Repellents: inter- intra-specific



Applications:

A. Monitoring

B. Mass trapping

C. Mating disruption

D. Deterrence - repellence

Mass trapping




Figure 8.5 The theoretical outbreak threshold for bark beetles in relation to 'stand vigour'. In mass-trapping, or other direct control measures, the aim is to suppress self-sustaining outbreaks by reducing population density below the threshold. This is difficult to achieve when stand vigour is low or when large amounts of windblown material are available for breeding (after Berryman 1978, from Speight and Wainhouse 1989).



Funnel trap



Window trap

Mating disruption






Figure 8.2 (a) Tethered female *Lymantria dispar* used in mating-disruption trials to determine the relationship between mating success and reduction in male captures in pheromone traps. Females were tethered using thread tied to the base of the forewing and attached to the bole of a tree. In experimental plots three rows of females were used, each separated from pheromone traps by at least 200 m. Females, which 'call' for up to 3 days, were dissected to determine mating success. (b) The proportion of female *L. dispar* mated in a low density population in relation to captures of males in pheromone-baited traps based on trials in 2 years together with a fitted model of mating probability. The relationship is influenced by such factors as trap type, pheromone source and population density (from Sharov *et al.* 1995).

Deterrence – repellence

Verbenone and non-host volatiles



Verbenone

+

felling treatment




Figure 8.15 Experimental treatment of spot infestations of *Dendroctonus frontalis* in south-eastern USA. In this example, verbenone treatment of uninfested trees was combined with felling currently infested trees at the front of the infestation (see Table 8.6) (from Clarke *et al.* 1999).

Integrated use: "Push & Pull"

Pheromones

